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The techniques of plant improvement have been evolving with the advancement of technology, progressing from crop domestication by Neolithic humans to scientific plant breeding, and now including DNA-based genotyping and genetic engineering. Archeological findings have shown that early human ancestors often unintentionally selected for and finally fixed a few major domestication traits over time. Recent advancement of molecular and genomic tools has enabled scientists to pinpoint changes to specific chromosomal regions and genetic loci that are responsible for dramatic morphological and other transitions that distinguish crops from their wild progenitors. Extensive studies in a multitude of additional crop species, facilitated by rapid progress in sequencing and resequencing(s) of crop genomes, will further our understanding of the genomic impact from both the unusual population history of cultivated plants and millennia of human selection.

Introduction
Prehistoric people were able to transform a few wild plant species into the crops that now provide humanity with a wide range of ecosystem services. Compared to their wild relatives, domesticated crops typically show synchronization of flowering time, enlargement of reproductive organs (fruit or seed), loss of seed dispersal, increased apical dominance, and other characteristics collectively known as the ‘domestication syndrome’ [1]. In this review, we first discuss new findings on the history of agriculture and then describe population and genomic changes underlying the domestication process. We then recapitulate some recurring strategies for dissecting and quantifying these changes with a focus on recent developments.

History of agriculture and domestication
Extensive literature regarding the fascinating history of agriculture and subsequent domestication of wild organisms has recently reached toward a new level of integration, through the synthesis of archeological and genetic findings [2,3**]. According to this new synthesis, the transition into agriculture took multiple steps and domestication appears to have been a series of nondeliberate attempts spread over a few thousand years rather than a few human generations [4*].

Clues as to what prompted the early hunter-gatherers to alter their lifestyle may reside in climate data. Globally distributed oxygen isotope measurements from ocean sediments allow reconstruction of the earth’s climate back to 5 million years ago [5]. Ice core data from Greenland and Antarctica have a resolution of a decade and goes back to 800 000 years ago, covering 20 glaciations [6]. Modern humans evolved in the past 100 000 years through these severe climatic perturbations. Our omnivorous ancestors appear to have switched to a plant-based diet during the cold spells. Compelling evidence comes from a 23 000-year-old Ohalo II cave in Israel, which contained ground stone tools and a wide collection of plant and animal remains left by hunter-gatherers that survived the last glacial maximum [7]. Humans must have had an excellent understanding of plant management including seasonality and the life cycles of animals and plants, and the cognitive prerequisites required for agriculture existed perhaps as early as 50 000 years ago.

Agriculture was not practiced until the climatically stable Holocene warming. During the most recent glaciation there was a warm period sandwiched between the Oldest Dryas (18 000–14 600 bp) and Younger Dryas (12 900–11 500 bp) cold periods. This warm period may have temporarily rescued the profitable hunter-gatherer lifestyle, delaying the emergence of agriculture. Human populations during the Younger Dryas reduced their prey size, became more sedentary, and once again increased the plant content of their diet [7]. Cold arid conditions promoted the use of grasses and cereal seeds by hunter-gatherers. Granaries discovered at the site of Dhra’ in the Jordan Valley are a striking demonstration that preagricultural plant management was already present 11 000 years ago [8*].

Surprisingly, the characteristics known as the domestication syndrome were slow to develop. One hallmark of domestication is the reduction of seed shattering which shows a gradual increase in frequency over a few thousand years [3**]. People had many ways to maximize harvests, despite shattering. Ethnographic evidence suggests that
hand gathering from the ground, unripe harvesting, beating, or hand stripping of ripe seeds into a basket, and twisting the plant stems to form a cone all provided sufficient yields and could have delayed the rise of non-shattering forms [9,10]. Such practices may have minimized selection on the shattering trait in some human cultures, even though simulations suggest that selection could still have allowed fixation of nonshattering within a period of 100 years [11]. Recent archeobotanical findings at Tianluoshan, China provide an excellent example for the slow rate of increase in nonshattering rice frequency [12].

Genomic changes associated with the domestication

Several genes that were targets of domestication or crop improvement have been identified [13], influencing fruit size [14], shape [15], seed dispersal [16], tillering [17], seed color [18], and many other traits (reviewed in [13,19]). The mutations in these genes that were incorporated into crops most likely occurred naturally in the progenitor plant population. For example, the nonfunctional (domesticated) allele of the rice shattering gene $sh4$ is also found in some individuals of the progenitor species $O. rufipogon$ [20]. It is unclear whether the same mutation is somehow maintained in low frequency in the wild population, or instead went extinct in the wild but later introgressed from cultivated individuals.

Such mutated alleles conferring domestication-related traits are presumed to reduce reproductive fitness of wild individuals bearing the alleles, and therefore to occur in wild populations only at relatively low frequencies. The persistence of ‘domestication alleles’ in natural populations is easily explained for those that are recessive and may represent loss-of-function alleles, but more difficult to explain for the appreciable number that are additive or dominant, and which have modified function [13,21].

The form and nature of the genetic mutations associated with transitions from wild to domesticated plants is highly variable (Figure 1). Some mutations causing frameshifts or premature termination of the protein product are considered nonfunctional ‘knock-outs’ in the domesticated species. By contrast, some mutations are in the regulatory elements and modify spatio-temporal expression patterns and/or levels [13]. In the case of $sh4$ [16], amino acid substitutions appear to disrupt the interaction of the protein with downstream targets.

Population footprints of crop domestication and improvement

Study of both mutations in crop-related genes and neutral DNA markers is changing our understanding of the tempo and duration of domestication. The spread of ‘domestication alleles’ through populations is typically
studied by collecting genetic information from a diverse sampling of both domesticated and wild plant varieties. One common feature of the domesticated genomes is the reduction of genetic diversity in crops relative to the wild progenitors [16]. This reduction has two causes. First, domestication is typically thought to have involved initial populations of small size, constraining genome-wide genetic diversity by the familiar concept of a ‘genetic bottleneck’. The second factor is the ‘selective sweep’, or directional selection for local genomic regions that distinguish crops from their ancestors. Both forces can be tested for deviations from the neutral Wright–Fisher model, which assumes constant population size and no selection [22].

**Demographic changes and tempo of domestication**

The development of neutral DNA markers has made it possible to study the demographic changes associated with domestication independently of the effects of selection on specific genomic regions [23]. Although conventional wisdom was that crops lost a significant portion of ancestral diversity, recent sequence data suggest otherwise. For example, domesticated maize has about 60–80% of the diversity in its progenitor teosinte [24,25]. Surprisingly, this estimate is typical of several crops, including einkorn wheat (70–100%) [26], sorghum (~80%) [27] and chile peppers (~90%) [28]. However, such estimates are likely overestimates, given the potential selection bias and possible genetic erosion in the wild population. It is also possible that some crops have partially restored diversity through recent gene flow from wild population after the initial domestication [29].

Population bottleneck is usually quantified by two factors — the bottleneck population size (Nb) and duration of the bottleneck (d). The severity of the bottleneck is given by coefficient $k = Nb/d$ [25]. Results from earlier analysis [30] suggested that most domesticates form a monophyletic group consistent with a single, rapid localized domestication event. Recent archeobotanical evidence and coalescent simulations instead favor a ‘protracted’ model of domestication [4*,31*]. For example, the classical population model in maize suggested only one bottleneck with a single value of $k$ [25], whereas the ‘protracted’ model fits several values of $k$, representing multiple bottlenecks of different strengths. A prolonged series of bottlenecks associated with domestication might reflect the dispersal of key genotypes, and further improvements by plant breeding [32]. Frequency spectra of allele variants also reveal unique demographic history of particular domesticated species. For example, an excess of high-frequency mutations in $rice$ domestication-related genes identified, spread of the mutations in $Rc$ and $qSW5$ was probably the most ancient since these mutations can be readily found in most heritage landraces, while $qSH1$ was relatively recent, only found in a few modern temperate $japonica$ cultivars [19].

**Effect of artificial selection and natural selection**

Detailed analyses of domestication genes reveal remarkable reduction of diversity that drove only a few haplotypes into fixation. Selection (both artificial and natural) is expected to reduce diversity at domestication-related genes and tightly linked loci (selective sweep) as favorable alleles are driven to high frequency. Such reduction of diversity is expected to be more striking than the effects of genetic bottleneck alone. The size and shape of the selective sweeps depend on the time and strength of selection as well as local recombination rates in the genome. Several studies in maize reported particularly large sweep blocks [34*,35*]. However, in some domesticated species like sorghum, lower levels of variations at neutral loci make it more difficult to detect selection, perhaps because of much more recent domestication [36].

Artificial selection can unintentionally impose genetic load on a crop genome by harboring subtle but deleterious mutations that are closely linked to major domestication genes and preserved by ‘genetic hitchhiking’, rather than being purged as they would in natural populations. Recent genome comparisons of two rice cultivars ($japonica$ and $indica$) show a high level of deleterious mutations, suggesting a genome-wide relaxation of selective constraints because of domestication [37], consistent with findings in domesticated animals [38]. One could envision that the resulting genetic load might be especially heavy in heterochromatic regions of a genome that are recalcitrant to recombination [39,40].

**Recombination of favorable alleles through hybridization and breeding**

The history of domestication and breeding can also be revealed by tracing the distribution of major domestication genes in chronologically and geographically stratified landraces and cultivars. For example, among the six rice domestication-related genes identified, spread of the mutations in $Rc$ and $qSW5$ was probably the most ancient since these mutations can be readily found in most heritage landraces, while $qSH1$ was relatively recent, only found in a few modern temperate $japonica$ cultivars [19].

**Assessing domestication-related genes and genomic regions**

Quantitative trait locus (QTL) mapping is a powerful way to study the domestication-related genes and chromosomal regions, with only the requirement of the presence of both domesticated and nondomesticated alleles in the mapping population [41]. QTLs with simple genetics and large phenotypic effect can be identified easily, and indeed most of the domestication genes identified so far are from this category. However, QTL studies are dependent on the environment and the parental lines, and are time and labor intensive to conduct.

A different approach — ‘selection scan’ takes advantage of the unusual polymorphism patterns of domestication-
related genes [25,42]. In this method, one looks for loci that show significant reduction of sequence diversity in domesticated genotypes compared to wild samples. The selection scan is a relatively high-throughput method, often identifying a large set of ‘candidate genes’ compared to conventional QTL mapping which interrogates only a few loci at a time. It was previously thought that only a few key genes of ‘large effect’ were responsible to transform wild teosinte into maize [43]. However, a study based on 774 genes extrapolates that 2–4% of the maize genes are under domestication-related selection [25]. Another study found 36 of 492 (7%) sunflower genes to show evidence of selection [42]. The number of genes under selection may vary among different domesticated species, because of differences in the domestication history and extent of recombination.

Tests for convergent evolution of domestication genes

Early analysis of a few domestication-related QTLs suggested that they occur in corresponding map locations across different cereal species, more often than explained by chance [44]. It was also postulated that convergent phenotypic evolution of major cereal crops might be explained by independent selection of mutations in orthologous gene loci [44]. It now appears that different domestication traits have different patterns of genetic architecture. For example, maize flowering time QTLs show syntenic conservation with rice [44,45]. In contrast, the numbers and locations of many loci controlling seed shattering differ in barley, maize, rice, and sorghum, indicating multiple genetic pathways [46]. Even when the genetic control of a particular trait is well conserved at the positional level, it remains to be proven whether corresponding genes are responsible [47,48], although one can point to many examples of correspondance genetic control of discrete phenotypes, in some cases even across monocots and dicots [49]. For other traits, related species might have different morphological or phylogenetic constraints and therefore the major genetic determinants vary. For example, *ramosa1* controls the floral branching system in the panicoïds (maize, *Miscanthus*, and sorghum) but not in rice [50].

To date, a conspicuously high frequency of the domestication genes identified is transcriptional regulators [13], yet their downstream targets are still unknown. These can be studied through a variety of experimental and computational methods, including ChIP-sequencing [51], yeast two hybrid screens [52], and genome-wide expression QTLs (eQTLs), which query the potential interactions among many genes [48]. Additionally, we can study the set of genes that are differentially expressed in the domesticated versus the wild individuals and see how these genes are related to one another in the context of a large regulatory network [48]. Loss-of-function mutations (as opposed to only regulatory changes) can also be examined by comparing the genomes of different related crop species. For example, pseudogenes in the corresponding chromosomal locations that have simultaneously experienced loss-of-function mutations in rice and sorghum might reveal potential targets of domestication that perhaps resulted from recent convergent changes [53].

New avenues for studying domestication

High-throughput genotyping technology

Sequencing technology is becoming increasingly parallel and high-throughput while cost per base continues to plummet. High density tiling arrays and next generation sequencing provide for efficient sampling of genetic diversity. Using resequencing microarrays to map genome-wide SNP variations, a recent study revealed phylogenetic relationships, population structure and introgression history among 20 rice cultivars and landraces [54]. Another proof-of-concept study used short-read sequencing technology to map the ‘Green Revolution’ gene *sl1* [49] in a 160-individual recombinant inbred population [55]. Resequencing is becoming a cost-effective genotyping method so long as data processing can be handled efficiently. Although the current read length of next generation sequencing is still not ideal for *de novo* sequencing of large and repetitive crop genomes, steady progress is being made [56].

Diversity-based mapping populations

Typical plant populations for genetic mapping of quantitative traits are of two types — naturally occurring lines and synthetic lines [41]. The advantage of synthetic populations, such as F2 or backcross, is that their strong linkage disequilibrium affords high power to detect QTL. However, because of limited allelic variation and number of recombinations, the map resolution of synthetic populations is low. This is complemented by using naturally occurring populations (or a diverse collection of germplasm), in which there are many historical recombinations. However, interpretations of correlations between genotype and traits using naturally occurring populations are often complicated by population structure and unknown genetic relatedness among individuals, producing false associations, and comprehensive scanning of the genome requires far greater numbers of genotypes to be assayed than QTL mapping.

Efforts that combine the relative strengths of the two types of populations are made feasible by advances in genotyping efficiency. For example, Buckler et al. recently established a nested association mapping (NAM) population in maize, where 25 different maize lines were all crossed with the same parent B73, and 200
recombinant inbred lines were generated from each cross [57]]. This composite population captures a significant fraction of maize diversity and has been used to identify numerous QTLs that are shared among different families [57]]. This is in contrast to the classical family of only two parents, in which only subsets of QTLs are detected. Development of ‘multiparent advanced generation intercross’ (MAGIC) offers a similar experimental platform for analyzing gene–trait correlations [58]. Such mapping strategies will provide a clearer picture of the genetic architecture underlying many domestication-related traits.

The next wave of domestifications

Although often thought of as a Neolithic activity, domestication is an ongoing process driven by changing human needs and agricultural conditions. Expansion of agriculture to provide plant biomass for production of fuels and/or feedstocks will require additions to our present repertoire of crops. Scientific breeding of leading candidates such as Miscanthus [59] is only beginning, and many early priorities are ‘domestication traits’ about which there exists much information in close relatives such as sorghum and sugarcane [44,60–62]. The accumulating knowledge of QTLs and genes associated with domestication of other grasses may be useful in biofuel crops, albeit in different ways — for example, to suppress flowering rather than accelerate it, and to increase height rather than reduce it.

Likewise, growing attention to the needs of Africa is reawakening the merits of further improving native plants already reasonably well suited to local cultivation, and which have been subjected to degrees of domestication ranging from none (Moringa) to advanced (okra) [63]. The conserved synteny and collinearity within most clades of flowering plants [64], together with growing information about genes and their functions in botanical models and major crops, provide means by which to accelerate progress in improvement of such plants to better suit human needs.

Conclusions and future prospects

Plant domestication, breeding, and biotechnology have modified plant genomes to tailor crops to the needs of humanity with increasing efficiency and precision. Understanding such processes, crop domestication in particular, is crucial today because of the rising demand for improving yield and quality of grain crops, as well as a new wave of domestimations to obtain additional ecosystem services from plants. Crops also form a particularly good system for the study of accelerated evolution. The study of domestication intersects both archeology and genetics, and informs us about the nature of both gene functions and selective constraints. Further knowledge of the genomics underlying crop domestication facilitates advancement of evolutionary theory while offering a solid foundation for full-fledged crop engineering in the near future.
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